Meta-Analysis of Research on Tolerance of Ambiguity and Prospects for Further Studies

Neha Sharma, Research Scholar, Banasthali Vidyapith
Dr. Vandana Goswami, Faculty of Education, Banasthali Vidyapith
Dr. Purnima Gupta, Faculty of Education, Banasthali Vidyapith

Abstract

Tolerance of ambiguity (TOA), a construct rooted in cognitive psychology, has evolved significantly since its early conceptualization. It has been studied across diverse disciplines - from psychoanalysis and language acquisition to organizational leadership and medical education - demonstrating its interdisciplinary relevance. This meta-analysis explores the historical progression, key research areas, and varied applications of the concept. It also identifies underexplored domains where further research may yield critical insights. The review emphasizes the potential of tolerance of ambiguity as a future-oriented cognitive skill essential for navigating increasingly complex, uncertain environments.

Keywords: *tolerance of ambiguity, interdisciplinary research, future studies, uncertainty, adaptability, cognitive psychology*

Introduction

The notion of Tolerance of Ambiguity (TOA) was originally conceptualized by Else Frenkel-Brunswik (1948) in her seminal work on authoritarian personality structures. She introduced TOA as a core personality trait that shapes an individual's emotional and perceptual responses to ambiguous, complex, or uncertain stimuli. Her research emerged from a broader inquiry into the psychological underpinnings of prejudice and ethnocentrism, during a time marked by rising fascist ideologies and social conservatism. In this context, Frenkel-Brunswik observed that individuals with low TOA -those who were intolerant of ambiguity - exhibited rigid thinking patterns, resisted cognitive complexity, and preferred clear-cut, black-and-white worldviews. This intolerance was frequently associated with authoritarian tendencies, xenophobia, and resistance to cultural diversity.

Thus, from its very inception, TOA was more than a cognitive variable; it was intricately linked to social behaviour, moral judgment, and political orientation, emphasizing its relevance in both individual psychology and broader sociocultural frameworks.

A critical extension of TOA beyond the confines of clinical and social psychology was made by Daniel Ellsberg (1961), whose Ellsberg Paradox is now a foundational concept in behavioural economics. His experiment demonstrated that individuals consistently prefer choices with known probabilities over those with ambiguous or unknown outcomes, even when the expected utility of both options is the same. This preference for the familiar over the uncertain became a key challenge to classical utility theory, suggesting that ambiguity aversion is a pervasive and systematic bias in human decision-making.

Ellsberg's findings were groundbreaking because they connected TOA to economic behaviour, establishing that ambiguity tolerance is not merely a personality trait but also a determinant of real-world choices in areas such as finance, risk management, and policymaking. His work bridged the gap between psychological theory and rational choice models, paving the way for interdisciplinary dialogue between psychology, economics, and cognitive science.

Building on these foundational insights, Budner (1962) provided one of the earliest attempts to operationalize TOA in empirical research. He defined intolerance of ambiguity as the "tendency to perceive ambiguous situations as sources of threat", contrasting it with tolerance, which he framed as the capacity to view uncertainty as manageable or even stimulating. Budner's most notable contribution was the development of a psychometric scale that sought to measure individual differences in TOA through self-reported attitudes and preferences.

This marked a significant methodological advance, transforming TOA from a primarily theoretical construct into a quantifiable variable amenable to experimental and survey-based research. Budner's scale, despite criticisms for its unidimensional structure, laid the groundwork for subsequent tools that would capture the multifaceted nature of ambiguity tolerance.

Throughout the latter half of the 20th century, researchers began to explore the relationship between TOA and creative thinking. Vernon (1970) was among the first to suggest that individuals who are comfortable with ambiguity are more likely to demonstrate openness to new experiences, nonconformity, and intellectual curiosity—traits commonly associated with creative personalities. Building on this, Merrotsy (2013) provided empirical evidence that higher TOA is positively correlated with divergent thinking, problem-finding abilities, and creative performance across a range of domains including education, the arts, and scientific innovation.

This perspective reframed TOA not as a psychological vulnerability or deficit (as earlier studies suggested), but as a strength and adaptive advantage, particularly in contexts that require complex problem-solving, improvisation, and novel solutions.

As a result, TOA increasingly became associated with leadership, entrepreneurship, and artistic endeavours, further broadening its applicability.

Tolerance of Ambiguity as a Multidimensional Construct

By the 1990s, scholars began to critique the simplistic, unidimensional portrayals of TOA that characterized earlier studies. Furnham (1994) played a pivotal role in reconceptualizing TOA as a complex, multidimensional construct, comprising emotional, cognitive, and behavioural components. His factor-analytic studies revealed that various existing TOA measurement tools - many of which were developed independently - often lacked theoretical coherence and failed to capture the full spectrum of ambiguity tolerance.

Furnham and Ribchester (1995) argued that TOA should not be viewed merely as a binary trait (tolerant vs. intolerant) but as a continuum, shaped by context, personality, and situational factors. They also highlighted the need for more psychometrically sound instruments, suggesting that future research should aim to develop domain-specific scales that could better assess TOA in contexts such as leadership, aesthetics, or intercultural adaptation.

Moreover, Furnham & Avison (1997) expanded TOA's relevance into aesthetics, proposing that individuals who tolerate ambiguity are more appreciative of abstract art, experimental literature, and ambiguous visual stimuli, reinforcing the idea that TOA intersects with artistic taste and cultural sophistication.

Concurrently, McLain (1993) introduced new instruments that placed greater emphasis on how ambiguity influences behavioural responses, such as risk-taking, delayed decision-making, and avoidance behaviours. This shift toward real-world application marked a crucial step in making TOA research more contextually grounded and action-oriented, thereby increasing its relevance for applied psychology, education, and business leadership.

Contemporary Developments and Neuroscientific Insights

In the 21st century, the study of TOA has taken a neuroscientific turn, thanks to advancements in neuroimaging and cognitive neuroscience. A landmark study by Tong et al. (2015) employed voxel-based morphometry (VBM) to investigate the structural brain correlates of TOA. Their findings revealed significant associations between gray and white matter density, particularly in the inferior frontal gyrus, and individual levels of ambiguity tolerance. These brain regions are known to be involved in executive functioning, emotional regulation, and response inhibition, all of which are crucial when confronting uncertain or complex situations.

Furthering this line of inquiry, Dandan et al. (2022) explored how neuroanatomical features correlate with scientific problem-finding ability—a cognitive process

closely linked to TOA. Their results indicated that individuals with high TOA exhibited greater cognitive flexibility, intellectual curiosity, and nonlinear reasoning, supported by neural markers in frontal and parietal cortices. These findings underscore the biological plausibility of TOA as a stable yet malleable trait, rooted in neurocognitive architecture.

Together, these studies have helped bridge the gap between psychological theories of ambiguity and their neurological substrates, offering a more integrative, biologically informed understanding of TOA. This interdisciplinary synthesis has laid the groundwork for future exploration into how TOA can be enhanced through training, therapy, or educational interventions, and how it might serve as a predictor of resilience, innovation, and adaptability in an increasingly uncertain world.

Tolerance of Ambiguity (TOA) as a Futuristic Competency

In recent years, Tolerance of Ambiguity (TOA) has evolved from being viewed solely as a psychological trait to being recognized as a critical, future-oriented competency—particularly relevant in our increasingly complex and unpredictable global landscape. According to Dr. Eric Albertini, founder of the Future Fit Academy (FFA), TOA refers to *"the extent to which individuals are naturally comfortable with ambiguous or uncertain situations and have the ability to operate effectively by considering a range of creative solutions or options."* This operational definition reflects a broader shift in how ambiguity tolerance is conceptualized—not merely as a passive disposition, but as an active, learnable skill that can be cultivated and leveraged across disciplines.

The FFA classifies TOA as one of 15 core competencies essential for individuals and organizations striving to remain resilient and effective in VUCA environments—those characterized by Volatility, Uncertainty, Complexity, and Ambiguity. Within such contexts, the ability to engage constructively with ambiguity is increasingly linked to successful decision-making, leadership effectiveness, and innovation capacity.

Strategic Value of TOA in Contemporary Environments

The transformation of TOA from an academic construct into a practical capability reflects its growing strategic importance across sectors. In today's rapidly evolving, digitally driven, and globally interconnected world, ambiguity is not the exception—it is the norm. Organizations and individuals who exhibit high TOA are more adept at:

- Recognizing and exploiting opportunities in uncertain conditions, often identifying emerging trends, gaps, and needs that others may overlook.

• Suppressing the fear of the unknown, which often paralyzes action, and instead embracing ambiguity as a stimulus for exploration and growth.

• Encouraging creative thinking and curiosity, particularly in situations lacking clear direction, precedent, or structure—key traits in both entrepreneurial ventures and problem-solving settings.

• Fostering cognitive flexibility, a cornerstone of adaptive learning and decision-making, enabling individuals to pivot strategies, incorporate new information, and remain effective under pressure.

Historically, TOA was seen as a relatively stable personality trait—innate and resistant to change. However, the growing body of contemporary research and applied practice suggests otherwise. Today, TOA is increasingly regarded as a trainable and developmental skill that can be nurtured through targeted interventions such as mindfulness training, exposure to open-ended problem-solving, scenario planning, and experiential learning.

This reconceptualization aligns with emerging trends in educational reform, leadership development, and talent management, where "future-readiness" is no longer defined solely by technical knowledge but also by cognitive agility, emotional resilience, and innovation potential. Organizations like the World Economic Forum (WEF), McKinsey, and Deloitte have echoed similar sentiments, identifying TOA-like qualities—such as adaptability, systems thinking, and creativity—as essential for thriving in the Fourth Industrial Revolution.

The reframing of TOA as a 21st-century competency holds significant implications for curriculum design, professional training, and organizational culture:

• In education, developing TOA supports learner autonomy, critical thinking, and resilience, particularly in inquiry-based and interdisciplinary learning environments. Students trained to tolerate ambiguity are more likely to engage meaningfully with complex, real-world problems.

• In leadership, TOA is increasingly recognized as a key enabler of transformational leadership styles, where ambiguity is not only managed but strategically harnessed to foster innovation, change, and inclusive decision-making.

• In the workplace, especially within fast-paced industries like technology, healthcare, and policy making, high TOA professionals tend to respond more constructively to disruption, embrace innovation, and exhibit stronger cross-functional collaboration skills.

As TOA becomes embedded within frameworks of competency-based education and leadership, institutions are now exploring methods to evaluate, teach, and

integrate ambiguity tolerance into professional development programs. This includes:

• Assessment tools that measure TOA in applied settings (e.g., decision-making simulations).

• Workshops and learning modules focused on ambiguity navigation.

• Mentoring and coaching that models comfort with uncertainty.

• Scenario-based training that replicates VUCA conditions.

These initiatives point to the growing consensus that TOA is not a luxury for certain professions—it is a baseline competency for success in the digital age.

Meta-Analysis of Research on Tolerance of Ambiguity (TOA)

Tolerance of Ambiguity (TOA) has garnered significant attention across a variety of academic and professional fields. This meta-analytic review synthesizes key empirical findings that demonstrate the wide applicability and interdisciplinary relevance of TOA. Research highlights how individuals' responses to ambiguity affect not only cognitive and emotional functioning but also performance, adaptability, and creativity in complex and uncertain environments. Below is a thematic breakdown of major research domains:

Language Learning and Education

TOA has been extensively studied in the domain of second-language acquisition (SLA) and broader educational psychology, where ambiguity is a central feature of the learning process. For example, Atamanova & Bogomaz (2014) identified TOA as a strong predictor of foreign language communicative competence, arguing that students who are more comfortable with ambiguity are more willing to engage in uncertain or imperfect communication, which is essential for language development.

Similarly, Chiang (2016) found that learners with high TOA tend to adapt more effectively to dynamic classroom settings, especially where teaching styles and linguistic exposure vary. Kurniasari & Indriani (2021) linked higher TOA with increased participation, motivation, and academic success, suggesting that ambiguity-tolerant students are less hindered by the fear of making mistakes or facing unfamiliar tasks. These findings support the inclusion of TOA development in curricula that emphasize inquiry-based, communicative, or multicultural learning environments.

Organizational Behaviour and Management

Within organizational settings, TOA is critical for effective leadership, decision-making, and team dynamics, particularly in industries characterized by volatility and change. O'Connor et al. (2018) found that leaders who exhibit high levels of TOA are more capable of guiding teams through ambiguous or high-pressure situations, such as crisis response or innovation challenges. These leaders tend to model resilience, flexibility, and constructive risk-taking, thereby enhancing overall team performance.

Chaturvedula et al. (2017) extended these findings to the Indian software industry, a sector marked by rapid technological change. They found a strong correlation between high TOA and creative decision-making, underscoring the role of TOA in fostering innovation and agility. Additionally, Rustgi (2022) examined TOA in educational leadership, noting that individuals with high ambiguity tolerance are more likely to exhibit organizational commitment, embrace policy reforms, and sustain motivation in complex, under-resourced systems.

Creativity and Military Science

The intersection of creativity and high-stakes decision-making is especially evident in military and strategic contexts. McClary (2009) explored how military officers with higher TOA were more capable of generating creative solutions during simulations and real-world operations, particularly under time constraints or incomplete information. His findings suggest that TOA contributes not only to creative thinking but also to mental resilience and improvisational skill.

Merrotsy (2020) reinforced this link between TOA and creativity, arguing that the ability to tolerate ambiguity is a core feature of the creative personality, facilitating divergent thinking, perspective-taking, and problem reframing—traits that are critical in both artistic and operational domains.

Medical and Health Sciences

In the medical and healthcare sectors, ambiguity is often inescapable—clinical professionals regularly make decisions with incomplete data, ambiguous symptoms, or competing diagnoses. Recognizing this, Hancock et al. (2015) developed a robust psychometric scale to measure TOA among medical students, highlighting its predictive validity in terms of diagnostic accuracy and emotional regulation.

Ndoja et al. (2020) examined the impact of low TOA on medical trainees, revealing links with perfectionism, burnout, and clinical anxiety. These findings suggest that a lack of ambiguity tolerance can undermine performance and mental health during high-pressure training periods like clerkships. In contrast, Gartner et al. (2020) connected TOA to emotional resilience, cognitive openness, and reduced need for

cognitive closure, positioning it as a crucial criterion in the selection and training of future healthcare professionals.

Special Education and Teaching

TOA is also pivotal in the field of special education, where educators must adapt to varying learning needs, behavioural challenges, and institutional constraints. Bisini & Musthafa (2015) explored the relationship between teachers' irrational beliefs and their TOA, finding that those with low tolerance were more likely to hold rigid, counterproductive assumptions about student potential and behaviour.

Yang & Xie (2022) examined the emotional impact of low TOA, linking it with teacher burnout, stress, and classroom inefficacy, especially in inclusive settings. Slanda (2017) emphasized TOA's critical role in supporting teachers working with children with special needs (CWSN), arguing that ambiguity tolerance allows educators to be more empathetic, creative, and adaptive in their pedagogy. This points to the need for TOA training in teacher education programs, especially those emphasizing inclusive or differentiated instruction.

Digital Behaviour and Internet Use

The explosion of digital technologies has created new contexts where TOA influences behaviour—particularly regarding internet use, procrastination, and emotional regulation. Chashmi et al. (2022) found that individuals with lower TOA are more prone to problematic internet behaviours, such as compulsive scrolling or social media overuse, possibly as a coping mechanism for avoiding ambiguity in real life.

Likewise, Paralkar & Knutson (2021) explored the relationship between TOA and academic procrastination in digital settings, suggesting that students who cannot tolerate ambiguity are more likely to delay assignments, particularly those with open-ended tasks or unclear expectations. These studies underscore the potential of TOA as a protective factor in promoting digital well-being, self-regulation, and effective time management in online environments.

Socio-Cultural and Cross-Cultural Adaptation

TOA is increasingly recognized as a key variable in cultural competence and adaptability, especially in a globalized world. Barth et al. (2020) and Kara & Kruteleva (2020) examined the role of TOA in transitional societies, showing that individuals with high TOA were more likely to exhibit intercultural sensitivity, openness, and adaptability—traits essential for functioning in multicultural workplaces and communities.

Harnish (2021) studied religious syncretism in Indonesia and found that individuals with high TOA were more open to integrating diverse spiritual practices, challenging

ethnocentric views, and embracing pluralism. Similarly, Pathak (2020) highlighted how TOA affects resistance to change in Indian organizational settings, especially where traditional hierarchies conflict with modern management paradigms. These findings position TOA as an essential ingredient in fostering socio-cultural harmony, conflict resolution, and global citizenship.

Across disciplines, Tolerance of Ambiguity consistently emerges as a powerful predictor of resilience, adaptability, creativity, and performance under uncertainty. This meta-analysis demonstrates that TOA is not confined to academic curiosity but is deeply consequential for real-world functioning—be it in classrooms, operating rooms, boardrooms, or battlefields. As we move toward more ambiguous and rapidly changing social, technological, and geopolitical landscapes, understanding and cultivating TOA becomes not just advantageous, but essential.

Future Research Directions in Tolerance of Ambiguity (TOA)

Although substantial progress has been made in understanding Tolerance of Ambiguity (TOA), especially in fields like psychology, education, and organizational behaviour, several critical gaps and emerging frontiers remain underexplored. As global challenges become increasingly complex and uncertain—ranging from pandemics to AI revolutions—the relevance of TOA as both a psychological trait and a strategic competency is more urgent than ever. Below are key avenues for future research:

Individualized Education and Pedagogical Innovation

Research could explore how TOA facilitates personalized teaching strategies that cater to the needs of learners at the margins of mainstream education—such as:

- Gifted students, who often thrive on complexity but may struggle with rigid curricula.
- Delinquent youth, for whom ambiguity in rules or expectations can be either a stressor or a rehabilitative opportunity.
- Differently abled learners, who often encounter uncertainty in social and academic settings.

Future studies could investigate how teachers' TOA levels influence their pedagogical flexibility, emotional responsiveness, and instructional creativity, especially when crafting individualized learning environments. Furthermore, developing TOA-enhancing interventions could support educators in adopting inclusive, trauma-informed, and learner-centered approaches.

Adolescent Resilience and Mental Health

Adolescence is marked by intense cognitive, emotional, and social transformation. Research could examine how TOA contributes to resilience during this turbulent life stage, particularly in relation to:

- Identity formation, where ambiguity is intrinsic to self-exploration.
- Academic stress, especially amid competitive or high-stakes environments.
- Social uncertainty, including peer relationships and digital pressures.

Longitudinal studies might track TOA development over adolescence, identifying protective factors that help young people navigate ambiguity in goals, relationships, and expectations. This has profound implications for school-based mental health programs, which could integrate TOA development into resilience-building curricula.

Digital Citizenship and Technological Adaptability

In an era dominated by digital ecosystems and algorithm-driven environments, future research should explore how TOA shapes responsible and adaptive digital behaviour. Possible research questions include:

- Does high TOA reduce vulnerability to cyberbullying, misinformation, or online echo chambers?
- How does TOA influence screen time regulation, attention management, and digital multitasking?
- Can TOA enhance AI literacy, helping students and professionals interact more effectively with emerging technologies like generative AI, adaptive learning platforms, or decision-support systems?

TOA could be positioned as a foundational digital citizenship skill, helping individuals thrive in uncertain, decentralized, and AI-mediated environments.

Healthcare Crisis Response and Clinical Decision-Making

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed the limits of traditional decision-making models in healthcare. Future research should analyze:

- How TOA influences triage decisions, treatment prioritization, and risk communication in emergency or crisis settings.
- Whether higher TOA among healthcare workers correlates with lower burnout rates, improved team collaboration, or more effective adaptive leadership.
- How ambiguity tolerance supports ethical decision-making when medical evidence is incomplete or evolving (e.g., new diseases, experimental treatments).

Such investigations could inform resilience training, crisis simulations, and mental health support systems for frontline workers, emphasizing TOA as a core competency in disaster medicine and public health.

Scientific Innovation and High-Risk Fields

TOA may be a critical driver of innovation, especially in domains where uncertainty and failure are part of the process. Researchers can examine TOA's role in:

• Space exploration, where ambiguity spans timelines, outcomes, and data interpretation. For example, India's Chandrayaan-3 mission (2023) succeeded despite the failure of Chandrayaan-2 (2019)—a testament to institutional ambiguity tolerance, long-term thinking, and calculated risk-taking.

• Artificial Intelligence (AI) development, where ethical ambiguity, open-ended problem solving, and unintended consequences are persistent challenges.

• Biotech and climate science, where uncertainty is both a barrier and a source of inquiry.

Empirical work could explore how individual or team-level TOA correlates with patent generation, experimental persistence, or transformational discoveries, particularly in high-stakes, high-reward environments.

Military Strategy, Crisis Management, and Covert Operations

Military operations often operate in "fog-of-war" conditions, where ambiguity is inevitable. Future research can assess:

• The role of TOA in guerrilla warfare, cyber defense, or covert intelligence missions, where success depends on rapid improvisation, asymmetric responses, and incomplete data.

• How TOA shapes tactical judgment, ethical reasoning, and unit morale under stress.

• Whether TOA-based training improves outcomes in disaster response and peacekeeping operations.

Such studies would expand the scope of military psychology, helping design selection tools, training programs, and leadership development models that prioritize ambiguity tolerance.

Linguistic Pluralism and Cultural Complexity in India

India's multilingual and multicultural fabric provides a fertile ground to explore TOA in sociolinguistic contexts. Potential research directions include:

• How TOA mediates attitudes toward dialectal variation, code-switching, or non-standard forms in everyday communication.

• The impact of TOA on multilingual education policies, particularly in regions with competing linguistic identities.

• Whether high TOA correlates with better intergroup dialogue, reduced linguistic prejudice, and increased support for language preservation efforts.

Understanding TOA in this context could inform policies that promote linguistic equity, cultural empathy, and inclusive communication in diverse societies.

As ambiguity becomes an inescapable feature of contemporary life, the imperative to explore and apply the concept of Tolerance of Ambiguity across diverse domains grows stronger. The proposed research directions - ranging from personalized education to crisis medicine, and from digital behaviour to national space programs - reflect the interdisciplinary power of TOA. Far from being a niche psychological trait, TOA stands poised to become a defining competency of the 21st century—essential for individuals, organizations, and nations navigating the unknown.

Conclusion

Over the past seven decades, TOA has evolved from a niche psychological concept into a multidisciplinary construct with implications across education, leadership, neuroscience, digital behaviour, and cultural studies. Yet, despite its broad applicability, research remains Western-centric, with limited exploration in non-Western societies, particularly in the Indian context.

As complexity and uncertainty increasingly define the modern world, developing TOA is no longer optional—it is essential. Future scholarship should aim to build culturally nuanced models, create adaptive assessment tools, and embed TOA into mainstream educational and organizational practices. The ability to tolerate and thrive in ambiguity may well be the most valuable competency of the 21st century.

References

Armani, K., Kefallonitis, E., & McLain, D. L. (2015). Ambiguity tolerance in organizations: Definitions, clarification, and perspective on future research. *Frontiers in Psychology, 6*, Article 344. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00344

Atamanova, I., & Bogomaz, S. (2014). Ambiguity tolerance teachers as a psychological factor of foreign language communicative competence development. *Procedia - Social and Behavioural Sciences, 154*, 361–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.10.161

Barth, L., Kaiser, P., Tunce-Langbehn, G., Ruether, B., & Goelzmann, L. (2020). The inner and the outer foreign territory: A qualitative study measuring ambiguity tolerance within youth in Germany. *Journal of International Migration and Integration*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12134-022-00956-z

Bisini, P. S., & Musthafa, M. N. M. N. (2015). Irrational beliefs and tolerance of ambiguity among prospective teachers. *International Journal of Current Research, 7*(4), 15392–15395.

Budner, S. (1962). Intolerance of ambiguity as a personality variable. *Journal of Personality, 30*(1), 29–50. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1962.tb02303.x

Buela, M., Joaquin, M. N., Tandang, N., & Bulasag, A. (2020). Association of ambiguity tolerance and problem-solving ability of students in mathematics. *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research, 51*(1), 12–
24. https://www.gssrr.org/index.php/JournalOfBasicAndApplied/article/view/11027

Chashmi, E., Hasani, J., Kuss, D. J., Griffith, M. D., & Shatirajabian, F. (2022). Tolerance for ambiguity, reappraisal, and suppression mediate the relationship between problematic internet use and procrastination. *Current Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-03745-0

Chaturvedula, S., Raghuraman, S., & Murthy, R. K. (2017). Impact of tolerance of ambiguity on job performance, creativity and decision–making styles: A study on Indian software professionals. *International Journal for Innovative Research in Multidisciplinary Fields, 3*(3), 102–112.

Chiang, H. H. (2016). A study of interaction among ambiguity tolerance, classroom work style and English proficiency. *English Language Teaching, 9*(6), 61–75. https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v9n6p61

Dandan, T., Jingjing, S., Ruolin, Z., Peng, L., Xiaojing, G., Qinglin, Z., & Jiang, Q. (2022). Right inferior frontal gyrus grey matter density mediates the effect of tolerance of ambiguity on scientific problem finding. *Current Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-03745-0

Durrheim, K., & Foster, D. (1997). Tolerance of ambiguity as a content-specific construct. *Personality and Individual Differences, 22*(5), 741–750. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(96)00207-3

Ellsberg, D. (1961). Risk, ambiguity, and the savage axioms. *Quarterly Journal of Economics, 75*(4), 643–669.

Furnham, A. (1994). A content, correlational, and factor analytic study of four tolerance of ambiguity questionnaires. *Personality and Individual Differences, 16*(3), 403–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(94)90066-3

Furnham, A., & Ribchester, T. (1995). Tolerance of ambiguity: A review of the concept, its measurement and applications. *Current Psychology, 14*(3), 179–199. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02686869

Gartner, J., Bubenius, L., Prediger, S., Vogel, D., & Harendza, S. (2020). Need for cognitive closure, tolerance of ambiguity and perfectionism in medical school applicants. *BMC Medical Education, 20*(1), 152. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02043-2

Hancock, J., Roberts, M., Monrouxe, L., & Mattick, K. (2015). Medical students' and junior doctors' tolerance of ambiguity: Development of a new scale. *Advances in Health Sciences Education, 20*(1), 113–130. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-014-9510-z

Harnish, D. (2021). Tolerance of ambiguity: Negotiating religion and sustaining the Lingsar festival and its performing arts in Lombok, Indonesia. *Religions, 12*(8), 626. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel12080626

Kara, Z., & Kruteleva, L. (2020). The relationship of ambiguity tolerance and personality traits of youth in a transitive society. *E3S Web of Conferences, 210*, 19007. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/2020210119007

Kurniasari, R., & Indriani, L. (2016). A study of ELF students' perspective on ambiguity tolerance. *English Learning Innovation, 2*(1), 10–12. https://doi.org/10.22219/englie.v2i1.14858

McClary, R. B. (2009). *An investigation into the relationship between tolerance of ambiguity and creativity among military officers* [Doctoral dissertation, Kansas State University]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global. https://hdl.handle.net/2097/2210

Merrotsy, P. (2013). Tolerance of ambiguity: A trait of the creative personality. *Creativity Research Journal, 25*(2), 232–237. https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2013.783762

Ndoja, S., Chahine, S., Saklofske, D. H., & Lanting, B. (2020). The erosion of ambiguity tolerance and sustainment of perfectionism in undergraduate medical training: A study of clerkship training effects. *BMC Medical Education, 20*, Article 412. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.21655/v2

O'Connor, P. J., Jimmieson, N. L., Bergin, A. J., & McColl, L. (2021). Leader tolerance of ambiguity: Implications for follower performance outcomes in high and low

ambiguous work situations. *The Journal of Applied Behavioural Science, 58*(1), 65–96. https://doi.org/10.1177/00218863211053676

Paralkar, U., & Knutson, D. (2021). Coping with academic stress: Ambiguity and uncertainty tolerance in college students. *Journal of American College Health*. https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2021.1965148

Pathak, V. (2020). Relationship between ambiguity tolerance and resistance to change in the Indian context. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Innovative Ideas and Education, 6*(4), 1814–1819.

Rustgi, G. (2022). *A study of tolerance of ambiguity and organizational commitment of employees in the education sector* [Doctoral dissertation, Banasthali Vidyapith]. Shodhganga. http://hdl.handle.net/10603/479634

Slanda, D. (2017). *Role ambiguity: Defining the elusive role of the special education teacher who works in inclusive settings* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Central Florida]. Electronic Theses and Dissertations. https://stars.library.ucf.edu/etd/5563

Tong, D., Yang, W., Zhang, Q., Li, Q., Wei, D., Che, X., Zhang, M., Hitchman, G., Qiu, J., Liu, Y., & Cao, G. (2015). Association between regional white and grey matter volume and ambiguity tolerance: Evidence from voxel-based morphometry. *Psychophysiology, 52*(8), 983–989. https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12433

Yang, Y., & Xie, J. (2022). The role of ambiguity tolerance and enthusiasm on Chinese university teachers' burnout. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.910598!